Application No. Applicant(s) 10/827,273 ISHIDA, HIROYUKI Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2875 Evan Dzierzynski All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tim Cremson. (3)Renee Luebke. (2) Evan Dzierzynski. (4)_____. Date of Interview: 17 October 2005. c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 14. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant made not that claim 14 had been omitted in the Office Action and requested that the examiner address claim 14. The applicant requested other claims be reconsidered and another Office action be written. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required